

# How to Play Ball with Prior Authorizations

Colburn Hill Group



# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!

- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Let's PLAY BALL!!

---

Should managing and obtaining prior authorizations feel as frustrating as trying to understand the rules of baseball?!



# Let's Play Ball!

---

As the Payer prior authorization game becomes harder to play, healthcare providers and physicians struggle to keep track of the rules and guidelines.

In the meantime 'new' prior authorization come into play as Payers change the game by adding levels of complexity and various turn around time requirements that are difficult to navigate.

Being on losing side of the final score means administrative burdens, loss of revenue, and poor patient experience due to delays in care.

# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

---

As the trend in cost containment on the payer side continues to increase, time and resource demands on Physicians is impacting their ability to deliver care. Key stakeholders – such as the AMA and AAFP – have gotten involved in calling for reform, validating what Patient Access departments have struggled with for more than a decade!!

As Curt Schilling of the Boston Red Sox said, “Baseball is not a sport you can achieve individually.” **NOR IS PATIENT ACCESS!**

## Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

---

“The AMA believes that prior authorization is overused and that existing processes are costly, inefficient, opaque and responsible for patient care delays”

~AMA on Managing Health Plan Payments

“The very manual, time-consuming processes used in prior authorization programs burden family physicians, divert valuable resources away from direct patient care and can inadvertently lead to negative patient outcomes.”

~AAFP on Prior authorization policy

# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective


Subsequently, the AMA gathered meaningful statistics on the impact to patient care.

## **Not-so-new discussion:**

- The average hospital scheduling process can add anywhere from 1 to 3 additional days to the process!
- The average “days out” scheduling is approximately 72 hours in many facilities

## **Average wait time for PA responses**

**Q:** In the last week, how long on average did you and your staff need to wait for a **prior authorization (PA)** decision from health plans?



*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.*



Source:  
2017 AMA Prior Authorization Physician Survey




# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

## ***Not-so-new discussion:***

- Care delays are not new!
- The alternative = significant financial drain, ultimately costing the servicing healthcare organization.
- **Question:** What is your Delay/deny policy?

## **Care delays associated with PA**

**Q:** For those patients whose treatment requires PA, how often does this process delay access to necessary care?




# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

## ***Not-so-new discussion:***

- Cancellations and re-scheduling
- Tracking physician orders
- Denied prior-authorizations and the use of Waivers

## **Abandoned treatment associated with PA**

**Q:** For those patients whose treatment requires PA, how often do issues related to this process lead to patients abandoning their recommended course of treatment?




*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.*

# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

## ***Not-so-new discussion:***

- If not diagnosed now ... then when?
- Inability to carry out treatment as initially prescribed
- Impact of delayed ‘elective’ surgical procedures



# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

---

The GOOD news here is ... that we all agree! Navigating prior authorizations is painful at best. Everyone wants to improve the practice and the new buzzword is REFORM!

The current practice of securing prior-authorizations:

- Is costly to organizations by way of administrative costs
- Is costly to everyone involved by way of lost revenue
- Is delaying patient care and degrades health outcomes
- Is costly to overall patient experience

# Prior Authorizations – ‘New’ Perspective

---

**BUT WAIT!!** Reform takes time. It **COULD** happen... but about the same time the Nationals take an overall lead in The Battle of the Beltways!



There are more timely steps to take towards expediting performance improvement:

- Know where to look for gaps & process deficiencies
- Take immediate action diagnose and repair any fractures
- Get a line of site on best practice and create a plan to move towards it

# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- The Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization request denials & delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Root Cause

---

Today we are going to talk about five common gaps found in prior-authorization departments, however, the list is not all inclusive. Much like baseball, financial clearance can be a very humbling game. Finding and declaring the true source of a 'slump' can be tough.

The only way to change the performance is to isolate the root cause creating bad outcomes before attempting to improve.

Take a star batter who is suddenly striking out game after game:

- Do they need their eyes checked?
- Has the grip on their bat gone bad?
- Or something else...?





# Root Cause

---

When needing to improve performance: Consider first base as getting your hands on the right data, second base as analyzing it and third base as finding a good working theory or conclusion.

A few mistakes to avoid in this phase...

## **DON'T**

- Look to technology & vendor ROI to solve all of your problems
- Leave out the financials: what is impact & cost?
- Hand off the problem if “it isn’t your department”

# Root Cause

---

Looking at only data for your root cause could put you back on the bench without home run. Take time to size the issue and be hands on before giving your problem a name.

Good habits to have...

## **DO**

- Review data in different ways: payer trends etc., (80/20)
- Observe/walk through the process: beginning to end
- Take ample time to REVIEW barriers with front line staff in detail
- Perform and assign account reviews: understand what you are seeing
- Include upstream & downstream in financial impact calculations

# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- The Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Starting Out Behind

---

***Financial Clearance staff do not have sufficient time to obtain timely prior-authorizations.***

*Common Scenario:*

Financial Clearance staff consistently struggle to achieve their “days out” metric. Disconnected scheduling departments focus on “filling open blocks” & TAT times. Ultimately patients are cancelled, rescheduled and even provided service without proper prior-authorization in place.

*Gaps/area of deficiency:*

- Scheduling department routinely schedules patients in “first available” time slots
- Not enough insight to prior-authorization requirements
- Poor capture of patient demographic/insurance details gouge into turn around times
- Financial clearance staff become inefficient

# Starting Out Behind

---

## **DONE. PROBLEM FOUND! NOW WHAT?**

As the late, great Yogi Berra said: “If you don’t know where you are going, you might wind up someplace else”

### *What’s Next?*

- Take time to strategize steps needed to solve the problem ultimately seeking out best practice.
- Keep in mind: Best practice does not apply to every scenario. Consider both short term and longer term solutions.

# Starting Out Behind

## The Problem: The patient scheduling department is ...

1. Scheduling department routinely schedules patients in “first available” time slots
2. Not enough insight to prior-authorization requirements
3. Poor capture of patient demographic/insurance details gouge into turn around times
4. Financial clearance staff become inefficient

### Solution

Ease      Cost      Time


- Develop a Matrix detailing payer/service prior-authorization requirements & turn around times
- Educate schedulers on correct demographic entry



- Look for integration between scheduling system and registration system
- Update job description from ‘scheduler’ to ‘schegistrar’



- Centralize scheduling staff under Patient Access leadership



# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- The Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Prior-authorization Request Delays

---

## **Prior-authorization requests are being denied and delayed.**

### Common Scenario:

The prior-authorization department is meeting the “days out” goal of 5 days, however, patients are consistently being cancelled and rescheduled for their services.

### Gaps/area of deficiency:

- Payers are returning higher than average requests for Peer to Peer reviews
- Prior-authorization requests are coming back as denied



# Prior-authorization Request Delays

## The Problem: Prior-authorizations are ...

1. Being delayed status for Peer to Peer reviews
2. Are requested, however, coming back as denied



Solution	Ease	Cost	Time
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Track prior-authorization requests &amp; status outcomes</li> <li>Review payer's contractual obligation</li> <li>Sit down with Contract Management team &amp; Payer Rep</li> </ul>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Centralize effort of starting &amp; obtaining prior-authorizations (VERSUS verifying if they are on file)</li> <li>Employ clinical/coding credentialed staff</li> </ul>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Procure &amp; implement Prior-authorization software that will map payer clinical requirements</li> </ul>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- The Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Discrepancies Post Adjudication

---

## **Services performed do not match prior-authorization on file...**

### *Common Scenario:*

The Business office is reporting a trend of adjusted accounts for the lack of prior-authorization. A patient account review reveals that authorization numbers & details are noted in the system as they should be. Further review reveals that the services ordered and authorized prior to service are different than the services rendered.

### *Gaps/area of deficiency:*

Services ordered and authorized prior to service are different than the services rendered.

# Discrepancies Post Adjudication

## The Problem: Patient accounts have discrepancies post adjudication...

1. Services ordered and authorized prior to service are different than the services rendered.



Solution	Ease	Cost	Time
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather stakeholders to review samples of the issue and develop charter for future prevention</li> </ul>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Work with internal IT resources to develop a report that enables manual reconciliation between ordered vs. performed services</li> </ul>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Procure &amp; implement Prior-authorization software that includes reconciling ordered vs. performed services</li> </ul>			

# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- The Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# The Impact of Under resourcing

---

## **Under resourcing leads to backlogs, poor quality and lost revenue ...**

### *Common Scenario:*

The prior-authorization department struggles to keep up with their workload. The Manager of the team is consistently moving staff work assignments to cover the work and approving overtime. Staff work out of multiple systems, not all of the team members are equally equipped to complete all types of accounts due to access and training. There is no room to add staff or upgrade software.

### *Gaps/area of deficiency:*

The department is lacking resources


Staff do not have the training or the tools to complete their jobs.

# The Impact of Under resourcing

In-house IT solutions: utilizing extensions currently available within your existing registration technology

## PROS ...

*Can save time & cost*



## BEWARE!

Success with this type of strategy can be “trial & error”  
Mapping & dictionary dependent w/variable success

## Examples:

GE Centricity/IDX: Enterprise Task Manager (ETM)  
McKesson STAR: Financial Clearance Workstation

# The Impact of Under resourcing

Automation: Going to market to procure & implement automation technology

## PROS ...

*Increased visibility/reconciliation*  
*Increased process efficiency*  
*Decreased payroll cost*

*Results may vary*

*No 'all in one' solution in the market ... YET*  
*Integration can be tricky*

## ...CONS

### BEWARE!

The sales pitch ALWAYS sounds AMAZING!!!  
Allocate the right expertise & resources to project  
Ask for current client demos with same ADT system

Examples:  
PriorAuth Now  
Experian Passport  
Availity



# The Impact of Under resourcing

Outsourcing: Partnering with a vendor to take on the management & outcome of the process

## PROS ...

*Frees up Management team to drive results*

*Gives access to enhanced reporting & metrics*

*Opportunity for better performance*

*Can be controversial*

*Ability to 'customize' service is limited*

*Can be time consuming*

*'Perfection' comes over time*

## BEWARE!

Customization is not necessarily a GOOD thing  
Registration foundation could = trouble  
Turn over rates are the silent killer



Examples:

Key Pro

R1

Athena

**...CONS**

# AGENDA

---

- Let's PLAY BALL!
- Prior Authorizations: 'New' perspective
- The Root Cause
- Starting Out Behind
- Prior-authorization Request Delays
- Discrepancies Post Adjudication
- The Impact of Under resourcing
- Inadequate Insight to Denials

# Inadequate Insight to Denials

---

**Inadequate insight to denials delays true performance improvement and puts further reimbursement at risk.**

*Common Scenario:*

There is a lack of clear performance indicators for prior-authorization work. Stakeholder departments (such as radiology and surgery) are reaching out for answers to denied pharmacy charges, were the other services on the account paid? The Surgeons and Radiologists state that they are being denied payment for their professional fees.

*Gaps/area of deficiency:*

Not enough focus around denial prevention and performance  
Preventable denials are undetected until write off is imminent

# Discrepancies Post Adjudication

## The Problem: Inadequate Insight into Denials

1. Not enough focus around denial prevention and performance
2. Preventable denials are undetected until write off is imminent

### Solution

### Ease

### Cost

### Time

- Obtain and use 835 denial data to analyze and prioritize areas of opportunity
- Implement formal patient access denials policy that requires approval to adjust fatal denials



- Attend and participate with organization's denial committee
- If there is no denials committee, be an advocate and start the conversation



- Use a business intelligence software package for reporting and visualization



# Inadequate Insight to Denials

## Denials Committee

- The committee is typically established by the RC director and facilitated by the billing office.
- Meets on a regular monthly basis
- Measure against Industry Standards when it comes to assessing performance
- Have a goal established for each category

### Example of Measuring to Industry ...

Denial Category	Baseline	Current	Benchmark (25th Percentile)
Billing	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
CoordinationofBenefits	1.00%	1.05%	0.87%
Diagnosis	0.13%	0.17%	0.06%
DuplicateClaim	2.96%	2.31%	1.13%
Eligibility	1.86%	1.95%	0.93%
LacksInformation	2.07%	1.55%	2.51%
MedicalNecessity	3.83%	4.04%	1.04%
Non-Converted	3.78%	3.15%	1.34%
NoPresert/Auth/Referral	57.00%	0.53%	0.44%
Procedure	37.00%	0.51%	1.53%
Provider	2.90%	2.47%	0.02%
TimelyFiling	61.00%	0.32%	0.17%
All Denials	19.21%	17.19%	10.07%

\*Note: Columns don't total because "All" is d-duplicated - it is possible to have 2 denials on one remit

### Example of Goals ...

	Front End Denials		
	Orig Baseline Average	Goal	
<b>COB</b>	1.00%	0.90%	(10% reduction)
<b>Elig</b>	1.86%	1.67%	(10% reduction)
<b>Med Nec</b>	3.83%	3.44%	(25% reduction)
<b>Auth</b>	0.57%	0.51%	(25% reduction)

# Inadequate Insight to Denials

**Best Practice: Utilize 835 denial data to analyze and prioritize areas of opportunity**

Outpatient										
Week Ending	3-Mar		10-Mar		17-Mar		24-Mar		31-Mar	
Denial Category	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Billing										
CoordinationofBenefits	23	\$ 30,043	9	\$ 15,671	5	\$ 2,074	11	\$ 12,916	18	\$ 19,346
Diagnosis	1	\$ 155	0	\$ -	1	\$ 568	1	\$ 465	1	\$ 465
DuplicateClaim	12	\$ 27,454	2	\$ 4,564	2	\$ 4,142	9	\$ 14,965	7	\$ 12,315
Eligibility	22	\$ 22,996	17	\$ 6,314	7	\$ 13,750	13	\$ 24,927	11	\$ 15,528
LacksInformation	3	\$ 20,863	1	\$ 5,497	2	\$ 12,384	5	\$ 15,139	2	\$ 6,119
MedicalNecessity	25	\$ 6,021	13	\$ 2,879	42	\$ 9,991	3	\$ 778	23	\$ 4,984
Non-Converted	1	\$ 12,591	18	\$ 6,395	13	\$ 3,591	21	\$ 8,018	4	\$ 6,836
NoPrecert/Auth/Referral	10	\$ 77,006	4	\$ 38,936	2	\$ 20,457	1	\$ 28,084	1	\$ 46,176
Procedure	7	\$ 6,970	1	\$ 270	4	\$ 8,630	11	\$ 12,947	2	\$ 10,499
Provider	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ -	0	\$ 182
TimelyFiling	1	\$ 3,038	1	\$ 89	0	\$ -	1	\$ 3,930	0	\$ -
<b>Grand Total</b>		\$ 207,137		\$ 80,615		\$ 75,587		\$ 122,169		\$ 122,450
									394	\$ 607,963

First Step: analyze by denial category to provide insight to the scope of the issue and assist in prioritization

- In this example, authorization denials account for 4% of the overall account population and 35% of the total dollars
- It is the priority for FE denials over eligibility and coordination of benefits

# Inadequate Insight to Denials

## Best Practice: Utilize 835 denial data to analyze and prioritize areas of opportunity

Next Step: take the biggest opportunity (authorizations) and drill down to the next biggest opportunity to analyze further ...

March Outpatient Payer	Authorization		CoB		Eligibility		Grand Total	
	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
Advantage by Buckeye								
Aetna	1	\$ 23,489	9	\$ 3,274	4	\$ 6,710	6	\$ 33,473
Aetna Better Health of Ohio					3	\$ 3,778	3	\$ 3,778
Ambetter from Buckeye Community HP								
Buckeye Community Health - Ohio								
Caresource of Ohio								
Cigna Health Plans								
Humana	2	\$ 27,295	7	\$ 2,873	9	\$ 12,912	12	\$ 43,080
Medical Mutual of Ohio								
Molina Healthcare of Ohio					11	\$ 15,598	11	\$ 15,598
Ohio Blue Cross	15	\$ 159,875	18	\$ 22,762	24	\$ 34,551	40	\$ 217,188
Ohio Medicaid					12	\$ 7,611	12	\$ 7,611
Ohio Medicare			32	\$ 51,141	7	\$ 2,355	13	\$ 53,496
Paramount								
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$ 210,659</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>\$ 80,050</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>\$ 83,515</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>\$ 374,224</b>

Last step: Perform & record account review data to get to & follow up on the root cause ...

Ohio Blue Cross		
Account Review Finding: No Auth	#	\$
Authorization is on file & approved	12	\$ 111,520
CPT performed NOT CPT ordered	5	\$ 22,834
Auth denied pre-service: not xld	1	\$ 25,521
Pre-service team failure	0	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$ 159,875</b>

# The End

---

**Wrap up/questions**